



#2017 122

Nova Dubovik <ndubovik@utah.gov>

Appeal of Scott Bond's Sandy City Grama Ruling

1 message

Evan Johnson

Fri, Dec 15, 2017 at 11:45 AM

To: ndubovik@utah.gov

Cc: Scott Bond <sbond@sandy.utah.gov>, Molly Spira <mspira@sandy.utah.gov>, KSL Assignment desk <assignment.desk@ksl.com>, Fox new tips <news@fox13now.com>, Kutv Newsdesk <Newsdesk@kutv2.com>

Dear Nova Dubovik,

I'm appeal Scott Bond's Ruling, Determination, or Finding on the following basis.

Scott Bond,

Your letter dated Dec 12, 2017 contains errors.

1-Under Utah Code 63G-2-203-3, the city can't charge me for the first 15 minutes which it wrongfully has over charged
 $\$33.93 \times 1/4 \text{ hour} = \8.48 .

" Notwithstanding Subsections (2)(a) and (b), no charge may be made for the first quarter hour of staff time."
(c)


2-Under Utah Code 63G-2-203 -5, redacting which is reviewing with a pen in hand can't be charged for.

A governmental entity may not charge a fee for:

(a) reviewing a record to determine whether it is subject to disclosure, except as permitted by Subsection (2)(a)(ii); or

3-Salt Lake City and Utah County Abatement charge 10 cents for a paper copy. You can't charge \$33.93 per hour plus 30 cents for the copy. It's not reasonable.

REC'D DEC 15 2017



4-Legal invoices are not protected records unless the portion would reveal an ongoing purchase negotiation. Other than that, they're just common legal bills and Public Records

Background -

Finally, as for the city's hiding invoices for legal fees and the city's bullying of canyon land owners by weaponizing water is not only disgusting but dishonest.

Colluding with other gov't agencies to create a web of inter-locking confiscatory regulations to take private property without just compensation is dishonest and illegal.

For 7 months a year, access to my private property is cut to unjustly enrich the Forest Service who receives \$700,000 a year in ski ticket taxes and the Town of Alta making \$1.6 million in taxes and fees for a town with no traffic light and 63 residential water meters.

Is this the function of gov't? That pension and perpetual health insurance your working for can just as easily be taken from you under the same scheme as is used to take my property.

What is your reaction to the term of art used by Salt Lake City - "watershed muscle"?

What is our reaction to the anti-dog policy in a canyon with Little Cottonwood Creek 200 TDS co-mingled, mixed and blended with Provo River Water 600 TDS plus dogs?

What is the public policy basis for the anti-dog policy in Little Cottonwood Canyon, because it's not science based. That means it has no basis in health, welfare or public safety. So what is it?

The feelings of a water bureaucrats who dislike dogs? Is this the kind of society you want for your children, and grand children.

How about the Rio Grande open drug area policy in Little Cottonwood Canyon?

Drug laws are not enforced in Little Cottonwood Canyon, but anti-dog laws are.

What's up with this?

I am requesting a corrected Grama fee cost and redactions only for information for ongoing purchases.

Sandy's statements that the State's economy could be harmed are rubbish.

Thank you for your consideration,

Evan Johnson

2 attachments



Evan Johnson v Sandy City (Scott Bond) Grama Ruling Dec 12 2017.pdf
1512K



Sandy Grama Response on Nov 21 2017 Legal Invoices.pdf
95K